

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patient and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 06/08/FENER DATE PARISH IV FIRST NAMED APPLICANT Ü ADALTISTATION NTHIRIBIDA

QM02/0124

STANLEY R. MOORE JENKENS & GILCHRIST F.C. 1445 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 3200 DALLAS TX 75202-2799

ATKINGON C	
APT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS ADVISORY ACTION THE PERIOD FOR REPLY: months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted). a) will expire. b) expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1,192(a). Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 1/8/2001 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. 1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. d claim amendments mise new issues require further consideration would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed 2. Newly proposed or amended claim_ amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Dupon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment D will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claim allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because – 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier \square The proposed drawing correction \square has \square has not been approved by the examiner. Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER